UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,395	07/02/2003	Taylor N. Van Vleet	ZNET.093A	3210
	7590 09/17/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST		BURGESS, BARBARA N		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/612,395	VLEET ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 46-66</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-45</u> .		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>6-26-08</u>		
	/Salad Abdullahi/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2157	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

ADVISORY ACTION

The Office notes the following argument(s):

- (a) Linden and Marshall do not collectively teach an "event server" that "implements a query interface through which the one or more applications retrieve the event data associated with particular users at least by event type and event time occurrence."
- (b) Nowhere does Linden suggest that retrieval of customer purchase histories or query log records involves the use of a "query interface through which the one or more applications retrieve the event data associated with particular users at least by event type and event time of occurrence".
- (c) Marshall does not disclose an event history server that "is capable of responding to a query submitted by an application via said query interface by identifying particular events that match event criteria included in said query."
- Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to:

(a)-(c) Linden teaches a Recommendation System that monitors the pages or sites viewed by the user during the current browsing session and generates recommendations on a customized page. The monitored pages and sites viewed are referred to as browsing histories and are stored in user profiles database/query log record (event history server). The database/query log record (event history server) includes user's purchase history, dates of purchase, history of items viewed, etc. The Recommendation Service (application) obtains information from the database/query log record (event history server) in order to generate recommendations. The Recommendation Service (application) selects (query) items from the database/query log record (event history server) that were viewed by the user for an extended period of time, sessions conducted by a user within a particular time period (event time of occurrence), viewed more than once, or viewed during the current session (event type). As well, the browsing session ID is an identifier that uniquely identifies a browsing session. The browsing session ID includes a number representing the date and time at which the browsing session started (event time occurrence). The Recommendation Service can request a browsing session by session ID (event time occurrence) to generate recommendations (paragraphs [0016, 0020, 0065, 0068, 0093, 0123, 0126, 0139, 0189, 0191]).

Marshall teaches a Health History Personalization Server that is queried by a user to obtain health information corresponding to the user. A desired term is entered and information matching that term is returned to the user. The user inputs the query term via an entry pane (paragraphs [0068, 0073-0074]).

Therefore, Linden and Marshall collectively, undoubtedly, discloses "a query interface through which the one or more applications retrieve the event data associated with particular users at least by event type and event time occurrences".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BARBARA N. BURGESS whose telephone number is (571)272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Barbara N Burgess/
Examiner, Art Unit 2157 Barbara N Burgess
Examiner
Art Unit 2157

August 20, 2008